DRAFT

Rye City Planning Commission Minutes

September 9, 2003

1	PRESENT:
2	
3	Barbara Cummings, Vice-Chair
4	Franklin Chu
5	Hugh Greechan
6	Patrick McGunagle
7	Martha Monserrate
8	
9	ABSENT:
10	Michael Klemens, Chairman
11	Peter Larr
12	
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	
15	Christian K. Miller, AICP, City Planner
16	George Mottarella, P.E., City Engineer
17	
18	I. HEARINGS
19	

as chair for the evening.

1. 14 Lake Road (Continued Public Hearing)

Vice-Chair Cummings recused herself from the discussion of this matter and left the hearing room. Martha Monserrate served as chair for this agenda item.

Vice-Chairman Cummings noted Chairman Klemens' absence and that she would serve

Alan Pilch (applicant's environmental consultant) provided an overview of the application noting that the project involved the construction of an addition to an existing 2,700 square-foot residence. The existing carport on the property would be removed. Mr. Pilch noted the location of the property and its relationship to the two wetlands on the property including the adjacent pond and a small pocket wetland located in the corner of the site near Lake Road. Mr. Pilch noted that the plan had been revised to change the configuration of the proposed residence and that the revised plan required a front yard setback variance.

Mr. Pilch provided an overview of the proposed increase of approximately 1,100 square feet of impervious area on the site. To offset this increase more than 3,655 square feet in wetland plantings were proposed and the existing impervious area associated with the carport would be removed.

To address neighbor concerns raised at the previous public hearing, Mr. Pilch provided an overview of the supplemental drainage analysis that was provided to the Commission. He

September 9, 2003 Page 2 of 13

noted that given the topography and drainage basins in the area that the proposed project would not have an adverse impact on stormwater flows on adjacent properties. He noted that most surface and sub-surface flows are directed towards the pond, not towards the neighboring property at 240 Brevorrt Lane. He added that in his opinion the removal of asphalt on the property would not significantly contribute to increased groundwater recharge and that such groundwater would likely flow towards the pond and not towards neighboring properties. To address potential concerns, however, Mr. Pilch noted that the plan includes sub-surface drywells to capture stormwater runoff.

There were no public comments.

On a motion made by Patrick McGunagle, seconded by Hugh Greechan and carried by the following vote:

15 AYES: Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle, Martha Monserrate

16 NAYS: None

17 RECUSED: Barbara Cummings

18 ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

the Planning Commission took the following action:

ACTION: The Planning Commission closed the public hearing on wetland permit

application number WP129.

2. Cremonese

Vice-Chair Cummings returned to the hearing room and continued as chair.

Robert Cremonese (applicant and property owner) noted that the application involved the subdivision of a 12,500 square-foot property into building lots. One lot would be 6,000 square-feet; the other would be 6,500 square-feet. A two-family dwelling would be provided on each lot consistent with the requirements of the RA-3 District. As recommended by the Planning Commission, Mr. Cremonese noted that the plan was revised to provide driveway access to Natoma Street for both lots. A wetland and drainage study was provided in the application. Mr. Cremonese noted the location of the property relative to the Blind Brook, which is located opposite the site on Wappanoca Avenue. Mr. Cremonese concluded his presentation by noting that the all neighbors were notified consistent with the requirements of the City's Subdivision Regulations.

Angel Morrison of 66 Mendota Avenue noted concern with the potential impact the proposed subdivision might have on flooding and drainage. The City Planner responded that only a portion of the property was located within the 100-year flood zone and that no

September 9, 2003 Page 3 of 13

development was proposed within that area. The City Engineer added that drywells had been provided to his satisfaction to address stormwater drainage concerns.

Monica Sawicka (Natoma Street resident) noted that she has lived in the area for two years and expressed concern regarding the extent of development that has occurred during that period. She suggested that the size of new residences in the area were out-of-scale with the neighborhood and contribute to crowding. The Commission questioned Mr. Cremonese as to the size of the proposed residences. He indicated that the footprint of each building would be either 1,400 or 1,800 square feet. He added that the buildings comply with the requirements of the City Zoning Code and that only one tree is proposed to be removed.

On a motion made by Martha Monserrate, seconded by Patrick McGunagle and carried by the following vote:

16 AYES: Barbara Cummings, Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle,

Martha Monserrate

18 NAYS: None19 RECUSED: None

20 ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

the Planning Commission took the following action:

ACTION: The Planning Commission closed the public hearing on final subdivision application number SUB 282 and wetland permit application number WP135.

3. 30 High Street

Greg DeAngelis (applicant's architect) provided an overview of the application noting that it involved the subdivision of an approximately 20,000 square-foot property into three building lots. Two lots on the rear of the property would each have a two-family residence. The third lot on the front of the property would be approximately 5,000 square feet and would be used for a service business. Mr. DeAngelis noted that the application complied with the requirements of the City's B-1 Neighborhood Business District and that the plan includes a new 15-foot easement to provide for the relocation of the existing City sewer and drainage lines that bisect the site.

Mr. DeAngelis noted that a separate driveway would serve each residential lot and that each two-family property would have four parking spaces, exceeding the minimum requirement of the City Zoning Code. The residential lots would abut an RT District located along the rear property line. The commercial lot would be located along High Street and would include a building located immediately along the front property line consistent with

September 9, 2003 Page 4 of 13

the setback of other buildings in the neighborhood and as permitted by zoning. The building would be a two-story structure with a basement, garage parking for trucks and a small office. Mr. DeAngelis provided an overview of the proposed architectural design and elevations for each building.

The Commission questioned the proposed grading on the property. Mr. DeAngelis indicated that the rear portion of the property would be raised by approximately three feet.

Mrs. Pelligrini (neighborhood property owner) questioned the type of business that was proposed and noted concern with the intensity of development on the property and the impact that would have on the neighborhood. She noted that parking in the area is limited especially since the conversion of the office building on the corner of High Street and Clinton Avenue. She indicated that her tenants are concerned with the parking impacts. She added that there are already eight services businesses in the High Street neighborhood. She also noted concern with the raising of the site and the potential drainage impacts that may have on neighboring properties.

Mrs. Brenda Irilleo (area residents) stated that she lived in the area for many years and understood that the applicant's property could not be built upon. She stated that the intensity of development would adversely impact traffic patterns and contribute to creating a more congested neighborhood character. She noted that parking in the summer is especially difficult when the neighborhood park is in use. She suggested that the neighborhood has undergone improvements in recent years and that this application would not be consistent with this trend.

Scott Truder (35-37 High Street) stated that measures should be taken to protect children from entering the site during construction. He stated that there are many children in the area and at the neighborhood park that would be attracted to the construction site.

Mr. DeAngelis responded to the neighbor concerns by noting that the application complied with the requirements of the City Zoning Code and exceeded the minimum parking requirements. He stated that the property would be used by a general contractor who would have up to four employees. Marc Castaldi (the property owner and applicant) added that employees would not typically go to the property, but rather meet at the construction projects. He noted that large trucks would not be kept at the property, but would be stored at another larger property he owns outside the City. Mr. Castaldi stated that in addition to himself there would be a secretary and an occasional accountant. He intended to use the building to meet clients, store hand tools, expensive wood and other materials and store collectable cars he owns. He concluded by stating that more than enough parking was provided to meet the needs of his proposed business.

On a motion made by Patrick McGunagle, seconded by Martha Monserrate and carried by the following vote:

September 9, 2003 Page 5 of 13

2 AYES: Barbara Cummings, Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle,

Martha Monserrate

4 NAYS: None 5 RECUSED: None

ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

the Planning Commission took the following action:

ACTION: The Planning Commission agreed to keep the public hearing open on final

subdivision application number SUB 281 and site plan and use permitted subject to additional standards and requirements application number

SP275.

4. 55 Drake Smith

Alan Pilch (applicant's environmental consultant) provided an overview of the application noting that it involves the construction of a new residence on an undeveloped lot located at the end of Drake Smith Lane. The existing property is approximately 1.38 acres. The proposed residence would have an "L" shaped configuration with a footprint of approximately 2,640 square feet. The property is located in R-1 District.

Mr. Pilch noted the location of wetlands on the property, which were delineated in August 2002. He stated that a large wetland is located on the eastern half of the property and that there is a narrow watercourse located along the side (northern) property line. Mr. Pilch stated that approximately 1,907 square feet of driveway and house would be located within the 100-foot buffer of the watercourse. He noted that the residence was sited on the property to minimize wetland buffer disturbances to the maximum extent practical and comply with the setback requirements of the City Zoning Code.

As mitigation for the wetland buffer encroachment, Mr. Pilch stated that wetland plantings would be provided along the slope adjacent to the watercourse. He noted that the fibrous roots of the proposed plant material would stabilize the slope and minimize erosion into the watercourse. Mr. Pilch also highlighted that drywells would be provided as a water quality measure to treat the first flush of stormwater from impervious areas.

Mr. Pilch noted that a 42,000 square-foot conservation easement on the rear portion of the property is proposed. The easement would protect this area from future development or other disturbances. Mr. Pilch added that the applicant is contributing towards the installation of a new sewer line that will allow all homes on Drake Smith Lane the opportunity to stop using septic. Giving property owners the opportunity to discontinue septic system use is considered an environmental benefit to the neighborhood.

September 9, 2003 Page 6 of 13

1 John Kirkpatrick (applicant's attorney) provided an overview of the proposed conservation 2 easement, which prevents future development on nearly two-thirds of the property. He 3 noted that the easement would be granted in favor of the City and that Westchester Land 4 Trust (WLT) would serve as a third party with enforcement rights. He indicated that the 5 easement was consistent with other easements accepted by WLT and that the applicant 6 was also providing a donation to WLT.

7 8

A neighbor of 90 Kirby Lane noted that he was in support of the application.

9

10 On a motion made by Patrick McGunagle, seconded by Martha Monserrate and carried by 11 the following vote:

12

Barbara Cummings, Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle, 13 AYES: 14

Martha Monserrate

15 NAYS: None 16 RECUSED: None

> ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

17 18 19

the Planning Commission took the following action:

20

21 ACTION: The Planning Commission closed the public hearing on wetland permit 22 application number WP131.

23 24

5. A'Mangiare Restaurant

25 26

27

28

29

30

Rex Gedney (applicant's architect) noted that the application involved the expansion of a former restaurant building for a new restaurant. He noted that 231 square-foot addition on the 868 square-foot property complied with the requirements of the City's B-2 District. Mr. Gedney stated that the existing terrace on the side of building that encroaches onto the City right-of-way would be removed by the applicant. In addition, new sidewalks would be installed. Stormwater would be conveyed to the City's drainage system.

31 32

There were no public comments.

33 34

35 On a motion made by Patrick McGunagle, seconded by Hugh Greechan and carried by the 36 following vote:

37

Barbara Cummings, Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle, 38 AYES: 39

Martha Monserrate

40 NAYS: None 41 RECUSED: None

42 ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

September 9, 2003 Page 7 of 13

the Planning Commission took the following action:

ACTION: The Planning Commission closed the public hearing on site plan application number SP277.

ITEMS PENDING ACTION

1. 14 Lake Road

Vice-Chair Cummings recused herself from the discussion of this matter and left the hearing room. Martha Moserrate served as chair for this agenda item.

Alan Pilch discussed in more detail the changes in the plan and the design of the proposed sub-surface drainage measures. He also noted changes in the type of plant material proposed within the small pocket wetland on the property. He noted that the proposed plant material would be more appropriate for a wetland area than the existing English ivy.

On a motion made by Patrick McGunagle, seconded by Franklin Chu and carried by the following vote:

AYES: Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle, Martha Monserrate

23 NAYS: None

24 RECUSED: Barbara Cummings

25 ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

the Planning Commission took the following action:

ACTION: The Planning Commission adopted a resolution conditionally approving wetland permit application number WP129.

2. Cremonese

The Commission discussed the comments raised in the public hearing. The City Planner and City Engineer reiterated that no improvements are proposed within a flood zone and that appropriate stormwater drainage measures will be provided.

The Commission discussed whether additional landscaping on the property should be provided. The City Planner noted that requiring landscaping in perpetuity can be cumbersome to enforce, but that additional landscaping could provided and made a condition of any certificate of occupancy. Mr. Cremonese agreed to provide additional landscaping along Natoma Street.

September 9, 2003 Page 8 of 13

The Commission noted concern with the utility lines that extended across the property frontage along Natoma. These lines hang low to the ground and could interfere with vehicle access to the proposed building lots. The Commission agreed that prior to the certificate of occupancy that the utility lines be relocated to provide adequate vehicle clearance over driveways to the satisfaction of the City Building Inspector.

The Commission noted that the proposed extension of a utility line in Wappanoca Avenue will require Westchester County approval since the County owns that roadway.

On a motion made by Patrick McGunagle, seconded by Franklin Chu and carried by the following vote:

13 AYES: Barbara Cummings, Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle,

Martha Monserrate

15 NAYS: None16 RECUSED: None

17 ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

the Planning Commission took the following action:

ACTION: The Planning Commission conditionally approved final subdivision

application number SUB 282 and wetland permit application number

WP135.

3. Playland Parkway Bridge Reconstruction

Scott Donnelly and Paul Summerfield (engineers from Westchester County Department of Public Works) provided an overview of the County-sponsored projected noting that it involved the reconstruction of an existing bridge on Playland Parkway over Blind Brook. The project would maintain the existing number of lanes, but would slightly increase the bridge span width. This modification should improve flood flows for smaller storm events. In addition, a portion of the bank of the brook would be removed and wetland plantings installed, which will improve the flow of water under the bridge stones would be installed on the opposite bank the wetland plantings to address erosion concerns. The sediment removal and wetland plantings will improve the capacity of the channel and reduce maintenance, but longer-term sediment removal will still be required.

The Commission discussed the proposed pedestrian walkway. Mr. Summerfield indicated that the existing pedestrian walkway would be increased slightly in width and a curb would be added. The Commission suggested reinstalling the guide rail that exists to provide better protection of pedestrians. Mr. Summerfield suggested that guide rails do not provide significant safety benefits because they are not designed to completely deflect the impact of a vehicle. In addition, he noted that providing a guide rail would reduce the width

September 9, 2003 Page 9 of 13

of the sidewalk. The Commission discussed various design alternatives, however there was no consensus on whether the guide rails should be provided on the plan.

Bill Ball (area resident) favored the project, since it would improve the flow of water in the area and address the erosion of the Blind Brook bank.

The Commission discussed extending the erosion control measures as an added measure to prevent sediment from entering the Brook during construction. Mr. Summerfield noted that measures are never actually removed. The measures consist of natural materials that grow-in and remain after the project is completed.

 The Commission discussed the construction process. Mr. Summerfield indicated that construction would take approximately eight months and would be split into two phases to avoid creating traffic conflicts with the opening of Playland. At least two travel lanes would remain open at all times. The start of construction would likely be deferred until next fall immediately after Playland closes for the winter. The existing pathway would be closed for approximately four months.

4. 30 High Street

The City Planner provided an overview in the most recent revisions in the site plan. He noted that the size of the proposed building was increased and that its configuration was changed, which reduced the size of the parking lot and negatively impacted vehicle circulation. He suggested shifting the building further away from the front property line. He also noted concern with the potential off-site drainage impacts from the proposed fill in the rear of the site.

The City Engineer requested that more detailed information based on soil boring was necessary to more precisely determine the location of the existing sewer and drainage lines on the property. The exact location should be surveyed and shown on the plan. The City Engineer added that he was not opposed to relocating the existing City easement, but that the separation of the sewer and drainage lines from each other and adjacent buildings must be reviewed carefully. He recommended that the first floor elevations of the proposed residences be established by the Planning Commission to prevent basement elevations from being below proposed sewer lines, which could result in problems in the event of a line break or servicing the pipes.

The Commission noted concern with the scale of the proposed buildings and their impact on the character of the neighborhood. Mr. DeAngelis stated that it was his opinion that three smaller buildings are more appropriate than one larger building that could be constructed on the site under current zoning. He also stated that two of the three lots would be residential, which is consistent with character of the neighborhood and those properties abutting the rear property line, which are located in an RT Residence District.

September 9, 2003 Page 10 of 13

The Commission requested that the site plan be revised and additional information be provided to address the comments of the City Planner and City Engineer.

5. 55 Drake Smith

The Planning Commission requested that the proposed conservation easement be reviewed and approved by Corporation Counsel. The Commission also discussed the potential tax reduction that could occur given the large conservation easement on the property. Mr. Kirkpatrick stated that such reductions typically require that the portion of the property within an easement also have development potential. He noted that that would not apply in this case since an additional building lot would not be permitted by existing zoning.

On a motion made by Patrick McGunagle, seconded by Martha Monserrate and carried by the following vote:

- 17 AYES: Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle, Martha Monserrate
- 18 NAYS: None
- 19 RECUSED: Barbara Cummings
- 20 ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

the Planning Commission took the following action:

ACTION: The Planning Commission adopted a resolution conditionally approving wetland permit application number WP131.

6. A'Mangiare Restaurant

The Commission discussed the provisions for refuse disposal and measures that could be implemented to prevent trash from being placed outdoors and on City property. The applicant agreed to not place any trash outdoors for pick-up until 10:00 PM and that daily pick-up would be required. Trash would be stored inside the building at all other times.

The Commission discussed the landscaping treatment that should be provided along the side of the building in place of the terrace. The Commission agreed that the City Planner and City Naturalist should work with the applicant to select appropriate landscape material.

On a motion made by Franklin Chu, seconded by Hugh Greechan and carried by the following vote:

- 41 AYES: Barbara Cummings, Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle,
- 42 Martha Monserrate
- 43 NAYS: None

September 9, 2003 Page 11 of 13

1 RECUSED: None

2 ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

3

the Planning Commission took the following action:

5 6

ACTION: The Planning Commission conditionally approved site plan application

number SP277.

7 8 9

7. Rattner Residence

10 11

The Commission noted receipt of the CC/AC comments and agreed to release them to the applicant.

12 13 14

15 16 The Commission discussed whether it should retain additional expertise to evaluate the appropriateness of the application from an engineering perspective. The Commission agreed that additional expertise was not necessary and that the engineering experience among members of the Commission was sufficient.

17 18 19

The Commission noted that it desired to hear public comment regarding the application and agreed that a public hearing should be set for its next meeting.

202122

On a motion made by Franklin Chu, seconded by Martha Monserrate and carried by the following vote:

23 24

25 AYES: Barbara Cummings, Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle,

Martha Monserrate

26 Marth 27 NAYS: None 28 RECUSED: None

29 ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

30 31

the Planning Commission took the following action:

32 33

ACTION: The Planning Commission set a public hearing on wetland permit application

number WP133 for its September 23, 2003 meeting.

34 35 36

8. Fortin Residence

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

Paul Jaehnig (applicant's environmental consultant) provided an overview of the changes to the plan since the original submission and in response to the comments raised during the site inspection. He noted that a 40-foot wetland buffer was added to the plan that prohibits the use of pesticide and fertilizers within that area. The plan was also amended to indicate the placement of topsoil over exposed tree roots and the removal of dead trees on the property.

September 9, 2003 Page 12 of 13

The Commission agreed to release the comments of the CC/AC to the applicant.

On a motion made by Franklin Chu, seconded by Martha Monserrate and carried by the following vote:

7 AYES: Barbara Cummings, Franklin Chu, Hugh Greechan, Patrick McGunagle,

Martha Monserrate

9 NAYS: None 10 RECUSED: None

11 ABSENT: Michael Klemens, Peter Larr

the Planning Commission took the following action:

ACTION: The Planning Commission set a public hearing on wetland permit application

number WP136 for its September 23, 2003 meeting.

9. 205 Grace Church Street

The Planning Commission noted concern with the extent of encroachment of the residence, driveway and other impervious areas into the wetland buffer. The Commission requested that the plan be revised to eliminate such encroachment into the buffer. The Commission noted that the applicant would be permitted to maintain the existing encroachment into the buffer if the existing residence were to be preserved. Since it is being removed, the Commission reasoned that it is the applicant's responsibility to locate the new residence outside the buffer or better justify its application.

Linda Whitehead (applicant's attorney) stated that the existing residence is non-conforming under zoning and therefore could not be rehabilitated or added to. She noted that house is very old and was even difficult to rent, let alone sell. Ms. Whitehead provided photographs of the interior of the house highlighting low ceilings, narrow hallways, dangerous steps and other conditions that do not meet current building code requirements. Ms. Whitehead suggested that some encroachment was necessary to provide for a reasonable house size and configuration.

The Commission requested that an alternative plan be prepared because of the encroachment in the buffer. The Commission also noted that it went to considerable lengths to protect the wetland on the neighboring property. Similar concerns and plan revisions would need to be addressed for the current application.

The Commission agreed to release the comments of the CC/AC. No public hearing would be set until a revised plan was submitted addressing the Commission's concerns.

September 9, 2003 Page 13 of 13

11. Discussion of Draft Hazard Mitigation Plan

1 2 3

The Commission briefly discussed the draft hazard mitigation plan. The Commission requested that the City Planner provide more information regarding the benefits of the plan to the City. The Commission agreed to discuss the plan at its next meeting.

5 6 7

4

10. Minutes

8 9

The Commission reviewed and approved minutes of its July 22, 2003 meeting.